1	5 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst	
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE 3	ՐԱԵ
8	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1H 2007 719
11	_	Case No. 111 2007 719
12	TERESA MARIE RYNCARZ 1295 Paradise Drive Martinez, CA 94553	ACCUSATION
13	RCP License No. 14552	
14	Respondent.	
15		•
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	<u>PARTIES</u>	
18	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
19	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about September 13, 19	991, the Respiratory Care Board issued
22	Respiratory Care Practitioner License number 14552	2 to Teresa Marie Ryncarz (Respondent).
23	The license is delinquent with an expiration date of	September 30, 2007.
24	<u>JURISDICT</u>	<u> ION</u>
25	3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board),	
26	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section	
27	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
28	///	

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction."
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)."
 - 7. Section 3750.5 of the Code states:

"In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the following:

- "(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 2 (commencing with section 4015) of Chapter 9 of this code.
 - 8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere

made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. Section 3752.5 of the Code states:

"For purposes of Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475), and this chapter [the Respiratory Care Practice Act], a crime involving bodily injury or attempted bodily injury shall be considered a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner."

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act."
- 11. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

///

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

13. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 14. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Convictions)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under code sections 3750(d), 3750(g), 3752 [substantially related conviction], 3752.5 [conviction of a crime involving bodily injury] and CCR 1399.370(a) in that she has two substantially-related convictions. The circumstances are as follows:

January 2008 Conviction

16. On or about December 23, 2007, Martinez Police Officers Adam Winslett and Officer David Mathers responded to a telephone call regarding a domestic violence incident. J.R. was standing outside the residence, and the officers observed that he had three large scratches that were bleeding on the left side of his face. J.R. stated that he had telephoned the police department. He stated that he and Respondent had been married 15 years, and that he, Respondent and R., their 14-year old daughter, live in the residence. He stated that Respondent is currently enrolled in an alcohol recovery program and had been drinking alcohol earlier in the

evening. He confronted her about her alcohol use, and they argued. Respondent attacked him, using her fingernails to scratch his face. He stated that their daughter, R., had to pull Respondent away from him. Respondent then passed out, and he called the police.

- 17. The two officers went into the residence, and located Respondent, who was sleeping on a bed in the master bedroom. Officer Winslet woke Respondent, and asked her to stand up. He noticed a strong odor of alcohol on her person and breath, and her eyes were bloodshot and watery. He questioned her about J.R.'s scratch marks on his face, and she said that she knew nothing about it. She would not answer questions about the events that had occurred in the residence that night. Officer Winslett arrested Respondent for violating Penal Code section 273.5 [domestic battery], a felony.
- 18. The officers transported Respondent to the Martinez Detention Facility for booking. Sergeant Baily performed an in-custody search of Respondent. During this search, approximately 80 Vicodin¹ pills fell out of Respondent's clothing. The officers then added a charge of violating Penal Code section 4573 [bringing a controlled substance into jail], a felony.
- 19. On or about December 26, 2007, a criminal complaint titled *People of the State of California vs. Teresa Marie Ryncarz*, case no. 134866-3, was filed in Superior Court of California, Contra Costa County. Count 1 charged Respondent with a felony violation of Penal Code section 273.5 [domestic battery.] Count 2 charged Respondent with a felony violation of Penal Code section 4573 [bringing Vicodin, a controlled substance, into jail.] Count 3 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 273.6(a) [violation of a court order.]
- 20. On or about January 24, 2008, the District Attorney amended the criminal complaint to add Count 4, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 273.5 [domestic battery.] Respondent entered a plea of no contest, was found guilty and convicted of Counts 3 and 4, and Counts 1 and 2 were dismissed. The Court placed her on three years formal probation, ordered her to be imprisoned for 180 days with credit for 32 days served, and

^{1.} Vicodin is a Schedule III controlled substance.

suspended 148 days. She was ordered to pay fines, perform 20 hours community service, ordered to stay away from the victim J.R., attend a 52 week domestic violence program, abstain from alcohol, submit to drug/alcohol testing, participate in counseling as directed by the Probation Department, complete a Kaiser intensive outpatient program and comply with Kaiser instructions if any for a residential program with proof to the Court. She was ordered to execute a HIPAA waiver with proof to the Probation Department and Court, and to provide proof of a prescription for Vicodin to be shown to the Court on February 8, 2008.

December 2007 Conviction

- 21. On or about March 3, 2007, at approximately 7:47 p.m., California Highway Patrol (CHP) Officer J. Pruitt was on daily patrol in a marked CHP vehicle when he was advised of a female walking on the right shoulder of the highway (later identified as respondent.) Officer Pruitt responded to the scene, and interviewed respondent. She stated that earlier in the evening, her husband, J.R., had been driving his vehicle, and she was seated in the front right passenger seat. They were planning on going out to dinner, but during the drive, they began arguing. Both she and her husband were yelling profanities at each other, and mutually shoving each other. During the argument, respondent bit her husband on the forearm, and broke the skin, causing bleeding and swelling to his arm. J.R. exited the freeway and told respondent that he would drive to the Walnut Creek police station to have the police settle their dispute. While driving the surface streets, respondent continued fighting with him, and scratched the left side of her husband's face, and the back of his neck. J.R. then drove back to the freeway, and decided to stop at a CHP truck weigh scales area, looking for an on-duty officer. Both J.R. and respondent got out of the vehicle. When Respondent walked away, J.R. got back in the vehicle and drove home.
- 22. While Officer Pruitt spoke to respondent, he smelled a strong odor of alcohol on her breath and person. He observed that she was unsteady and had a difficult time explaining the events of the evening, and had difficulty answering simple questions that he asked. She admitted that she had drunk two shots of vodka earlier in the evening but insisted that she was not drunk. She denied any injuries and refused medical treatment. Respondent began

2

4

3

1

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

roaming the parking lot, and refused to stay in one location while Officer Pruitt conducted an investigation.

- 23. At Officer Pruitt's request, respondent telephoned J.R. and asked him to drive to the location and provide a statement as to the events that had occurred. When J.R. arrived on the scene, Officer Pruitt observed and photographed his injuries.
- 24. At approximately 8:00 p.m., CHP Officer Brome arrived on the scene and talked to respondent. She became uncooperative and argumentative, and accused Officer Brome of being physically abusive. She began walking around the parking lot, and walked away from the location. When Officer Brome tried to direct her back, she began yelling, "you can't touch me." Officer Brome then placed her in custody for violating Penal Code section 647(f) [disorderly conduct] and 148(a) [resisting arrest.] After taking J.R.'s statement and observing his injuries, a felony charge of Penal Code 273.5 [wilful infliction of bodily injury] was added. Respondent was transported to the Contra Costa CHP office. Upon arrival, she refused to exit the police vehicle. She claimed that she was never read her rights, and that there was no reason to arrest her. She eventually exited the vehicle, and threatened to sue the officers involved.
- 25. Respondent was transported to the Martinez Detention Facility, where she continued being argumentative and verbally abusive to staff. She yelled at the intake nurse to "shut up" and refused to answer medical questions. She refused to open her eyes for the booking photograph and when she was led to a holding room, she became physically combative with the officer. She was then physically restrained and placed in a safety cell.
- 26. On or about May 21, 2007, a criminal complaint titled *People of the State* of California vs. Teresa Marie Ryncarz, case no. 131374-1, was filed in Superior Court of California, Contra Costa County. Count 1 charged Respondent with a felony violation of Penal Code section 273.5(a) [domestic battery.] Count 2 charged Respondent with a felony violation of Penal Code section 148(a)(1) [resist, obstruct, or delay a peace officer.] Count 3 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 647(f) [public intoxication.]
- 27. On or about December 7, 2007, the District Attorney amended the criminal complaint to dismiss Count 1, a felony violation of Penal Code section 273.5 [domestic

1	battery] and Count 3, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 647(f) [public		
2	intoxication.] Respondent entered a plea of no contest to Count 2, Penal Code section 148(a)(1)		
3	[resist, obstruct, or delay a peace officer], was found guilty and convicted. The Court placed her		
4	on three years probation, ordered her to be imprisoned for 4 days with credit for 4 days served.		
5	She was ordered to pay fines, attend a four month Kaiser Outpatient Program, and attend AA		
6	twice a week for six months after completion of the Kaiser program.		
7	28. Therefore, Respondent's 2008 misdemeanor conviction for violating Penal		
8	Code sections 273.6(a) [violation of a court order] and Penal Code section 273.5 [domestic		
9	battery], and 2007 misdemeanor conviction for violating Penal Code section 148(a)(1) [resist,		
10	obstruct, or delay a peace officer] are cause to discipline her license pursuant to code sections		
11	3750(d), 3750(g) and 3752 [substantially related conviction], and 3752.5 [conviction of a crime		
12	involving bodily injury].		
13	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
14	(Possession of a controlled substance)		
15	29. Paragraphs 16 through 27 are incorporated herein.		
16	30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750.5(a)		
17	[possession of a controlled substance] in that on December 23, 2007, she was in possession of		
18	Vicodin, a controlled substance, without a valid prescription.		
19	<u>PRAYER</u>		
20	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
21	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
22	1. Revoking or suspending respiratory care practitioner license number		
23	14552 issued to Teresa Marie Ryncarz;		
24	2. Ordering Teresa Marie Ryncarz to pay the Respiratory Care Board the		
25	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of		
26	probation monitoring;		
27			
20			

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: November 25, 2008
4	
5	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:
6	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer
7	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
8	State of California Complainant
9	
10	
11 12	SF2008200535
13	ryncarz_t_acc.wpd
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	